Case Number: 2020-02099 Filed: 5/15/2020 4:27:47 PM Doc # 7

Exhibit D **AECOM General Denial of JH Kelly Complaint** Weil, Gotshal & Manges LLP 767 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10153-0119 

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 7447-5 Filed: 05/15/20 Entered: 05/21/20 08:15:22 Page 1

of 8

Case Number: 2020-02099 Filed: 5/15/2020 4:27:47 PM Doc # 7

1 FILED 2 C. Scott Penner (SBN 124826) Carney Badley Spellman, P.S. JUN 2 8 2019 3 701 Fifth Avenue, Suite 3600 Seattle, WA 98104-7010 CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT 4 Tel.: 206-622-8020 BY: G. HOYT, DEPUTY CLERK 5 Robert M. Harding (SBN 101751) 6 Randall C. Nelson (SBN 138298) Carr, Kennedy, Peterson & Frost 7 420 Redcliff Drive Redding, CA 96002 8 Tel: 530-222-2100 9 Attorneys for Defendant AECOM Technical Services, Inc. 10 SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 IN THE COUNTY OF SHASTA 12 JH KELLY, LLC, a Washington limited liability company, NO. 192600 13 Plaintiff. DEFENDANT AECOM TECHNICAL 14 SERVICES, INC.'S GENERAL ٧. DENIAL TO COMPLAINT AND 15 AECOM TECHNICAL SERVICES, INC., a AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES purported California corporation, and DOES AGAINST PLAINTIFF JH KELLY, 16 1 through 10, inclusive, LLC 17 Defendants. 18 T. GENERAL DENIAL 19 Defendant AECOM Technical Services, Inc. ("AECOM"), in answer to the unverified 20 complaint of Plaintiff JH Kelly, LLC ("JH Kelly"), and by virtue of the provisions of Section 21 431.30(d) of the California Code of Civil Procedure, now files its general denial to the 22 Complaint, and in answering all the allegations of the Complaint, this answering defendant 23 denies each and every allegation thereof. 24 II. AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES 25 In addition to its general denial above, AECOM asserts the following affirmative 26 defenses to each and every cause of action made against it by JH Kelly and without admitting 27 it has the burden of proof on any of the issues raised below. 28

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 7447-5 Filed: 05/15/20 Entered: 05/21/20 08:15:22 Page 2

DEFENDANT AECOM TECHNICAL SERVICES, INC.'S GENERAL DENIAL TO COMPLAINT AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES AGAINST PLAINTIFF JH KELLY, LLC - 1

AEC002-0006 5837526

of 8

Doc # 7

7

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21 22

23

25

24

26 27

28

# FIRST AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE

(Failure to State Facts Sufficient to Constitute a Cause of Action)

JH Kelly fails to state facts in its Complaint sufficient to constitute a cause of 1. action against AECOM.

#### SECOND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Indispensable Party - CCP Section 389)

2. JH Kelly's Complaint, and each cause of action alleged therein, are barred on the grounds that JH Kelly has failed to join Pacific Gas & Electric Company ("PG&E"), a necessary and indispensable party to this action, and the Complaint is thereby defective.

#### THIRD AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Breach of Contract)

3. JH Kelly has breached the Subcontract and therefore is not entitled to recovery against AECOM.

#### FOURTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Laches)

4. JH Kelly's claims, in whole or in part, are barred by the doctrine of laches.

#### FIFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Waiver)

5. JH Kelly's claims, in whole or part, are barred because JH Kelly has waived, relinquished, and/or abandoned any entitlement to relief against AECOM regarding the matters that are the subject of the Complaint (and AECOM asserts no such entitlement exists) by failing to follow the terms of the Subcontract.

### SIXTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Consent)

6. JH Kelly's claims, in whole or part, are barred by the doctrine of consent.

#### SEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Estoppel)

7. JH Kelly's claims, in whole or part, are barred by the equitable doctrine of estoppel. JH Kelly has failed to comply with certain contractual requirements, refused to present

DEFENDANT AECOM TECHNICAL SERVICES, INC.'S GENERAL DENIAL TO COMPLAINT AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES AGAINST PLAINTIFF JH KELLY, LLC - 2 AEC002-0006 5837526

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 7447-5 Filed: 05/15/20 Entered: 05/21/20 08:15:22 Page 3 1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16 17

18

19

2021

22

23

24

2526

27

28

EFENDANT AE

and prosecute its claims against PG&E as required by the Subcontract, and is thus estopped from asserting such claims against AECOM.

### EIGHTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Unclean Hands)

8. JH Kelly's claims, in whole or part, are barred by the equitable doctrine of unclean hands. JH Kelly is responsible for damages resulting from its own acts or omissions, including breaches of contract, including but not limited to its failure to timely and efficiently prosecute the work.

# NINTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Failure to Mitigate)

9. If JH Kelly has suffered any damages, which AECOM expressly denies, AECOM alleges that JH Kelly's recovery for those damages may be barred, in whole or in part, by its failure to mitigate, reduce, or otherwise avoid its damages.

### TENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Unjust Enrichment)

10. JH Kelly's claims, in whole or part, are barred because it seeks relief that would result in unjust enrichment of JH Kelly.

### ELEVENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Offset)

11. If AECOM has any liability to JH Kelly for the claims made in this action, which AECOM expressly denies, AECOM is entitled to an appropriate set-off for the claims it has asserted in its Cross-Complaint against JH Kelly and for claims that are the ultimate responsibility of PG&E.

### TWELFTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Apportionment)

12. If JH Kelly suffered any damages as alleged in the Complaint, those damages were proximately caused and contributed to by persons or entities other than AECOM, including but not limited to PG&E, such that the liability of all other responsible parties, named or

DEFENDANT AECOM TECHNICAL SERVICES, INC.'S GENERAL DENIAL TO COMPLAINT AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES AGAINST PLAINTIFF JH KELLY, LLC – 3 AEC002-0006 5837526 1 2

3

5

4

6

7

9

10 11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22

2324

25

26

2728

unnamed, should be apportioned relative to the degree of fault of each, and that the liability of AECOM, if any, should be reduced accordingly, and furthermore, if JH Kelly recovers against AECOM, AECOM is entitled to contribution from such third parties and/ or defendants, and each of them.

### THIRTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Prompt Payment Laws)

13. AECOM's decision to withhold amounts from JH Kelly's invoices is justified and supportable under California law. These withholdings were made in good faith, within AECOM's rights under the Subcontract, and permitted by California's Prompt Payment Statutes.

## FOURTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Ripeness)

14. JH Kelly's claims, in whole or in part, are not ripe for adjudication as JH Kelly has failed to satisfy the required contractual dispute resolution process required by the Subcontract.

# FIFTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Failure to Satisfy Conditions Precedent)

15. JH Kelly's claims, in whole or in part, are barred because JH Kelly has failed to satisfy the conditions precedent of the Subcontract, which requires JH Kelly to cooperate in the presentment and prosecution of its claims against PG&E.

# SIXTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Failure to Exhaust Contractual Remedies)

16. JH Kelly's claims are barred, in whole or in part, because JH Kelly has failed to exhaust the dispute resolution procedures and remedies required by the Subcontract before commencing this action.

# SEVENTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Intervening Cause)

17. All or part of the damages and/or losses alleged by JH Kelly were caused by acts and conduct of other persons or entities, including but not limited to PG&E, which intervened

DEFENDANT AECOM TECHNICAL SERVICES, INC.'S GENERAL DENIAL TO COMPLAINT AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES AGAINST PLAINTIFF JH KELLY, LLC – 4 AEC002-0006 5837526

ase: 19-30088 Doc# 7447-5 Filed: 05/15/20 Entered: 05/21/20 08:15:22 Page 5

1 2

3 4

5

6 7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27 28 between the acts and conduct of AECOM, and JH Kelly's alleged damages and/or losses, if any, are therefore not recoverable from AECOM. In the alternative, any damages that JH Kelly may be entitled to recover against AECOM must be reduced to the extent that such damages are attributable to the intervening acts and/or conduct of persons or entities other than AECOM.

### EIGHTEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Comparative Contribution)

18. JH Kelly's alleged damages, if any, were caused or contributed to, in whole or in part, by the negligence, breach of contract, or other wrongful conduct of JH Kelly, or other persons or entities not within the control of AECOM, including but not limited to PG&E. In the event AECOM is held liable to JH Kelly, which liability is expressly denied, then JH Kelly's recovery should be reduced in accordance with the principles of equitable indemnity and comparative contribution to the extent that the negligence, breach of contract, or other wrongful conduct of JH Kelly or other persons or entities that contributed to such damages.

### NINETEENTH AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSE (Reservation of Rights)

19. AECOM reserves the right to amend its answer to assert further defenses that are not presently known but may become known and available through further investigation and discovery.

#### III. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, AECOM prays for the following relief:

- 1. For dismissal with prejudice of all claims and causes of action asserted by JH Kelly;
  - 2. For a judgment in favor of AECOM against JH Kelly;
  - 3. That JH Kelly take nothing by way of its Complaint on file herein;
- 4. For an award of AECOM's costs and attorneys' fees as provided for by contract or allowed by law;
  - 5. For such other and further relief as the Court may deem just and proper.

DEFENDANT AECOM TECHNICAL SERVICES, INC.'S GENERAL DENIAL TO COMPLAINT AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES AGAINST PLAINTIFF JH KELLY, LLC - 5 AEC002-0006 5837526

(	Case Number: 2020-0209	99 Filed: 5/15/2020 4:27:47 PM	Doc #
1			
2			The state of the s
3	DATED this 28th day of	of June, 2019.	
4		CARNEY BADLEY SPELLMAN, P.S.	
5			,
6		Ву	
7		C. Scott Penner (SBN 124826)	
8		Attorneys for Defendant AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	
9		AND	
10		Robert M. Harding (SBN 101751)	
11		Randall C. Nelson (SBN 138298)	
12		Attorneys for Defendant AECOM Technical Services, Inc.	
13			
14			
15			
16			
17			
18			
19			
20			
21			
22			
23			
24			
25			
26 27			
28			
۵۵	DEFENDANT AECOM TECHNICAL	SERVICES, INC.'S	
	GENERAL DENIAL TO COMPLAIN DEFENSES AGAINST PLAINTIFF J	IT AND AFFIRMATIVE	
	AEC002-0006 5837526 Case: 19-30088 Doc# 7447	7-5 Filed: 05/15/20 Entered: 05/21/20 08:15:22 of 8	Page 7

1			
2	CEDTIFICATE OF CEDITOR		
3	The undersigned certifies under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that I am an employee at Carr, Kennedy, Peterson & Frost, over the age of 18 years not a party to nor interested in the above-entitled action, and competent to be a witness hereir On the date stated below, I caused to be served a true and correct copy of the foregoin document on the below-listed attorney(s) of record by the method(s) noted:		
4			
5 6			
7	Email and first-class United States mail, postage prepaid, to the following:		
8	Mario R. Nicholas		
9	Stoel Rives LLP 760 SW Ninth Avenue, Suite 3000		
10	Portland, OR 97205 Attorney for Plaintiff JH Kelly, LLC		
11	Tel: (503) 224-3380		
12	Fax: (503) 220-2480		
13	Legal messenger service, for delivery on		
14	to the following:		
15	Overnight mail service, for delivery on, to the following:		
16	Via court e-filing website, which sends notification of such filing to the following:		
17	Other		
18	DATED this 28 day of June, 2019.		
19 20			
21	Randi Diem, Legal Assistant		
22			
23			
24	·		
25			
26			
27			
28			
	DEFENDANT AECOM TECHNICAL SERVICES, INC.'S GENERAL DENIAL TO COMPLAINT AND AFFIRMATIVE DEFENSES AGAINST PLAINTIFF JH KELLY, LLC – 7		

Case: 19-30088 Doc# 7447-5 Filed: 05/15/20 Entered: 05/21/20 08:15:22 Page 8

AEC002-0006 5837526